

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,448	02/11/2004	Winthrop D. Childers	200309247-1	4780
22879	7590 10/11/2	06	EXAMINER'	
	PACKARD COM	ALI, SHUMAYA B		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	LINS, CO 80527-24	00	3771	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/11/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/777,448	CHILDERS, WINTHROP			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shumaya B. Ali	3743			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the matter SIX (6) MONT	R, FROM THE MAILING D. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period stended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAl 3) ☐ Since this application	n is in condition for allowa	uly 2006. s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14,19,21 Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is of the drawing(s) filed Applicant may not req	re rejected. re objected to. <u>and 32-39</u> are subject to replicate to by the Examine on is/are: a) accurate that any objection to the	wn from consideration. restriction and/or election requirer er. repted or b) objected to by the legacing of t	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11						
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copi 2. Certified copi 3. Copies of the application from	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: es of the priority document es of the priority document certified copies of the priorm the International Burea	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P' 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14,32-39, drawn to a medicament dispenser, classified in class128, subclass 200.23.
- II. Claims 19 and 21, drawn to a method of dispensing a medicament, classified in class 128, subclass 200.24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the medicament can be dispensed using a different method.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

1

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,448

Art Unit: 3743

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shurhaya B. Ali

Examiner

Art Unit 3743,

Henry Bennett

Group 3700